arXiv:1408.3702v1 [math.FA] 16 Aug 2014

SYSTEM OF SPLIT VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY PROBLEMS

KALEEM RAZA KAZMI

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh 202002, India
Email: krkazmi@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a system of split variational inequality problems in real Hilbert spaces. Using projection method, we propose an iterative algorithm for the system of split variational inequality problems. Further, we prove that the sequence generated by the iterative algorithm converges strongly to a solution of the system of split variational inequality problems. Furthermore, we discuss some consequences of the main result. The iterative algorithms and results presented in this paper generalize, unify and improve the previously known results of this area.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper unless otherwise stated, for each $s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, let H_s be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$; let C_s be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of H_s.

The *variational inequality problem* (in short, VIP) is to find $x \in C_1$ such that

$$\langle h_1(x), y - x \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C_1, \tag{1.1}$$

where $h_1 : C_1 \to H_1$ be a nonlinear mapping.

MSC(2010): Primary: 47J53; Secondary: 90C25.
Keywords: System of split variational inequality problems, Iterative algorithm, Convergence analysis.

Variational inequality theory introduced by Stampacchia [27] and Fichera [10] independently, in early sixties in potential theory and mechanics, respectively, constitutes a significant extension of variational principles. It has been shown that the variational inequality theory provides the natural, descent, unified and efficient framework for a general treatment of a wide class of unrelated linear and nonlinear problem arising in elasticity, economics, transportation, optimization, control theory and engineering sciences [1, 2, 8, 11, 12, 22]. The development of variational inequality theory can be viewed as the simultaneous pursuit of two different lines of research. On the one hand, it reveals the fundamental facts on the qualitative behavior of solutions to important classes of problems. On the other hand, it enables us to develop highly efficient and powerful numerical methods to solve, for example, obstacle, unilateral, free and moving boundary value problems. In last five decades, considerable interest has been shown in developing various classes of variational inequality problems, both for its own sake and for its applications.

In 1985, Pang [26] showed that a variety of equilibrium models, for example, the traffic equilibrium problem, the spatial equilibrium problem, the Nash equilibrium problem and the general equilibrium programming problem can be uniformly modelled as a variational inequality problem defined on the product sets. He decomposed the original variational inequality problem into a system of variational inequality problems and discuss the convergence of method of decomposition for system of variational inequality problems. Later, it was noticed that variational inequality problem over product sets and the system of variational inequality problems both are equivalent, see for applications [9, 25, 26]. Since then many authors, see for example [7, 9, 13, 25] studied the existence theory of various classes of system of variational inequality problems by exploiting fixed-point theorems and minimax theorems. On the other hand, a number of iterative algorithms have been constructed for approximating the solution of systems of variational inequality problems, see [15, 16, 17, 23, 28] and the relevant references therein.

More precisely, the system of variational inequality problems (in short, SVIP) is to find $(x, y) \in C_1 \times C_2$ such that

$$\langle F(x, y), z_1 - x\rangle \geq 0, \ \forall z_1 \in C_1, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\langle G(x,y), z_2 - x\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_2 \in C_2, \tag{1.3}$$

where $F : C_1 \times C_2 \to H_1$ and $G : C_1 \times C_2 \to H_2$. Verma [28] studied the convergence analysis of an iterative method for a problem similar to SVIP(1.2)-(1.3) by using projection mappings.

Recently, Censor *et al.* [6] introduced the following split variational inequality problem (in short, SpVIP): Find $x \in C_1$ such that

$$\langle h_1(x), z_1 - x\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_1 \in C_1, \tag{1.4}$$

and such that

$$y = Ax \in C_2 \text{ solves } \langle h_2(y), z_2 - y\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_2 \in C_2, \tag{1.5}$$

where $h_1 : H_1 \to H_1$ and $h_2 : H_2 \to H_2$ are nonlinear mappings and $A : H_1 \to H_2$ is a bounded linear operator. They studied some iterative methods for SpVIP(1.4)-(1.5).

SpVIP(1.4)-(1.5) is an important generalization of VIP(1.1). It also includes as special case, the split zero problem and split feasibility problem which has already been studied and used in practice as a model in intensity-modulated radiation therapy treatment planning, see [4, 5]. For the further related work, we refer to see Moudafi [24], Byrne *et al.* [3], Kazmi and Rizvi [18, 19, 20, 21] and Kazmi [14].

Motivated by the work of Censor *et al.* [6], Kazmi [14], Verma [28] and work going in this direction, we introduce the following system of split variational inequality problems (in short, SSpVIP), which is a natural generalization of SpVIP(1.4)-(1.5):

Let $F : C_1 \times C_2 \to H_1$, $G : C_1 \times C_2 \to H_2$, $f : C_3 \times C_4 \to H_3$ and $g : C_3 \times C_4 \to H_4$ be nonlinear bifunctions and $A : H_1 \to H_3$ and $B : H_2 \to H_4$ be bounded linear operators, then SSpVIP is to find $(x, y) \in C_1 \times C_2$ such that

$$\langle F(x,y), z_1 - x\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_1 \in C_1, \tag{1.6}$$

and such that (u, v) with $u = Ax \in C_3,\ v = By \in C_4$ solves

$$\langle f(u,v), z_3 - u\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_3 \in C_3; \tag{1.7}$$

$$\langle G(x,y), z_2 - y\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_2 \in C_2, \tag{1.8}$$

and such that (u, v) solves

$$\langle g(u,v), z_4 - v\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_4 \in C_4. \tag{1.9}$$

Some special cases:

I. If we set $H_2 = H_1,\ H_4 = H_3,\ C_2 = C_1,\ C_4 = C_3,\ G = F,\ g = f,\ B = A$, and $y = x$, then SSpVIP(1.6)-(1.9) reduces to the following spilt variational inequality problem: Find $x \in C_1$ such that

$$\langle F(x,x), z_1 - x\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_1 \in C_1, \tag{1.10}$$

and such that $u = Ax \in C_3$ solves

$$\langle f(u,u), z_3 - u\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall z_3 \in C_3. \tag{1.11}$$

The SpVIP(1.10)-(1.11) is new and different from SpVIP(1.4)-(1.5).

II. If we set $H_3 = H_1,\ H_4 = H_2,\ C_3 = C_1,\ C_4 = C_2,\ f = F,\ g = G$, and $A = B = I$, identity mapping, then SSpVIP(1.6)-(1.9) reduces to the SVIP(1.2)-(1.3).

Using projection method, we propose an iterative algorithm for SSpVIP (1.6)-(1.9) and discuss some of its special cases. Further, we prove that the sequence generated by the iterative algorithm converges strongly to a solution of SSpVIP(1.6)-(1.9). Furthermore, we discuss some consequences of the main result. The iterative algorithms and results presented in this paper generalize, unify and improve the previously known results of this area, see for example [14, 28].

2. **Iterative Algorithms**

For each $s \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, a mapping P_{C_s} is said to be *metric projection* of H_s onto C_s if for every point $x_s \in H_s$, there exists a unique nearest point in C_s denoted by $P_{C_s}(x_s)$ such that

$$\|x_s - P_{C_s}(x_s)\| \leq \|x_s - y_s\|,\ \forall y_s \in C_s.$$

It is well known that P_{C_s} is nonexpansive mapping and satisfies

$$\langle x_s - y_s, P_{C_s}(x_s) - P_{C_s}(y_s)\rangle \geq \|P_{C_s}(x_s) - P_{C_s}(y_s)\|^2,\ \forall x_s, y_s \in H_s. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, $P_{C_s}(x_s)$ is characterized by the following properties:

$$\langle x_s - P_{C_s}(x_s), y_s - P_{C_s}(x_s)\rangle \leq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\|x_s - y_s\|^2 \geq \|x_s - P_{C_s}(x_s)\|^2 + \|y_s - P_{C_s}(x_s)\|^2,\ \forall x_s \in H_s,\ y_s \in C_s. \tag{2.3}$$

Further, it is easy to see that the following is true:

$$x \text{ is a solution of VIP(1.1)} \Leftrightarrow x = P_{C_1}(x - \lambda h_1(x)),\ \lambda > 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Hence, SSpVIP(1.6)-(1.9) can be reformulated as follows: Find $(x, y) \in C_1 \times C_2$ with $(u, v) = (A(x), B(y)) \in C_3 \times C_4$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= P_{C_1}(x - \rho F(x, y)), \\ u &= P_{C_3}(u - \lambda f(u, v)), \\ y &= P_{C_2}(y - \rho G(x, y)), \\ v &= P_{C_4}(v - \lambda g(u, v)), \end{aligned}$$

for $\rho,\ \lambda > 0$.

Based on above arguments, we propose the following iterative algorithm for approximating a solution to SSpVIP(1.6)-(1.9).

Let $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq (0, 1)$ be a sequence such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = +\infty$, and let $\rho,\ \lambda,\ \gamma$ are parameters with positive values.

Algorithm 1. *Given $(x_0, y_0) \in C_1 \times C_2$, compute the iterative sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ defined by the iterative schemes:*

$$a_n = P_{C_1}(x_n - \rho F(x_n, y_n)), \tag{2.5}$$

$$d_n = P_{C_2}(y_n - \rho G(x_n, y_n)), \tag{2.6}$$

$$b_n = P_{C_3}(A(a_n) - \lambda f(A(a_n), B(d_n))), \tag{2.7}$$

$$l_n = P_{C_4}(B(d_n) - \lambda g(A(a_n), B(d_n))), \tag{2.8}$$

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n[a_n + \gamma A^*(b_n - A(a_n))] \tag{2.9}$$

$$y_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_n[d_n + \gamma B^*(l_n - B(d_n))] \tag{2.10}$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ and $\rho,\ \lambda,\ \gamma > 0$, where A^* and B^* are, respectively, the adjoint operator of A and B.

Some special cases:

If we set $H_3 = H_1,\ H_4 = H_2,\ C_3 = C_1,\ C_4 = C_2,\ f = F,\ g = G$, and $A = B = I$, identity mapping, then Algorithm 1 reduces to the following iterative algorithm for SVIP(1.2)-(1.3).

Algorithm 2. *Given $(x_0, y_0) \in C_1 \times C_2$, compute the iterative sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ defined by the iterative schemes:*

$$a_n = P_{C_1}(x_n - \rho F(x_n, y_n)),$$
$$d_n = P_{C_2}(y_n - \rho G(x_n, y_n)),$$
$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n a_n$$
$$y_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_n d_n$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., $ and $\rho > 0$.

If we set $H_2 = H_1,\ H_4 = H_3,\ C_2 = C_1,\ C_4 = C_3,\ G = F,\ g = f,\ B = A$, and $y = x$, then Algorithm 1 reduces to the following iterative algorithm for SpVIP(1.10)-(1.11).

Algorithm 3. *Given $x_0 \in C_1$, compute the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by the iterative schemes:*

$$a_n = P_{C_1}(x_n - \rho F(x_n, x_n)),$$
$$b_n = P_{C_3}(A(a_n) - \lambda f(A(a_n), A(a_n))),$$
$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n[a_n + \gamma A^*(b_n - A(a_n))]$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ and $\rho,\ \lambda,\ \gamma > 0$, where A^* is the adjoint operator of A.

Definition 2.1. *A mapping $F : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_1$ is said to be*

(i) *α_1-strongly monotone in the first argument, if there exists a constant $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\langle F(x_1, y) - F(x_2, y), x_1 - x_2\rangle \geq \alpha_1\|x_1 - x_2\|^2,\ \forall x_1, x_2 \in H_1, y \in H_2;$$

(ii) *α-strongly monotone in the second argument, if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\langle F(x, y_1) - F(x, y_2), y_1 - y_2\rangle \geq \alpha\|y_1 - y_2\|^2,\ \forall x \in H_1,\ y_1, y_2 \in H_2;$$

(iii) *(β_1, ϵ_1)-Lipschitz continuous, if there exist constants $\beta_1 > 0, \epsilon_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\|F(x_1, y_1) - F(x_2, y_2)\| \leq \beta_1\|x_1 - x_2\| + \epsilon_1\|y_1 - y_2\|,\ \forall x_1, x_2 \in H_1,\ y_1, y_2 \in H_2.$$

Definition 2.2. *A mapping $S : H_1 \times H_1 \to H_1$ is said to be*

(i) *η-strongly mixed monotone, if there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\langle S(x_1, x_1) - S(x, x), x_1 - x\rangle \geq \eta\|x_1 - x\|^2,\ \forall x_1, x \in H_1;$$

(ii) *ξ-mixed Lipschitz continuous, if there exists a constant $\xi > 0$ such that*

$$\|S(x_1, x_1) - S(x, x)\| \leq \xi\|x_1 - x\|,\ \forall x_1, x \in H_1.$$

3. **Results**

Now, we prove that the iterative sequence generated by Algorithm 1 converges strongly to a solution of SSpVIP(1.6)-(1.9).

Theorem 3.1. *For each $s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, let C_s be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of real Hilbert space H_s; let $F : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_1$ be α_1-strongly monotone in the first argument and (β_1, ϵ_1)-Lipschitz continuous; let $G : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_2$ be α_2-strongly monotone in the second argument and (ϵ_2, β_2)-Lipschitz continuous; let $f : H_3 \times H_4 \to H_3$ be σ_1-strongly monotone in the first argument and (μ_1, ν_1)-Lipschitz continuous, and let $g : H_3 \times H_4 \to H_4$ be σ_2-strongly monotone in the second argument and (ν_2, μ_2)-Lipschitz continuous. Let $A : H_1 \to H_3$ and $B : H_2 \to H_4$ be bounded linear operators. Suppose $(x, y) \in C_1 \times C_2$ is a solution to SSpVIP(1.6)-(1.9) then the sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ generated by Iterative algorithm 1 converges strongly to (x, y) provided that for $i \in \{1, 2\},\ j \in \{1, 2\}\backslash\{i\}$, the constants ρ, λ, γ satisfy the conditions:*

$$\max_{1\leq i\leq 2}\{L_i - \Delta_i\} < \rho < \min_{1\leq i\leq 2}\{L_i + \Delta_i\}$$

$$L_i = \frac{\alpha_i - p_i q_i}{\beta_i^2 - p_i^2};\ \Delta_i = \frac{\sqrt{(\alpha_i - p_i q_i)^2 - (\beta_i^2 - p_i^2)(1 - q_i^2)}}{\beta_i^2 - p_i^2};$$

$$\alpha_i > p_i q_i + \sqrt{(\beta_i^2 - p_i^2)(1 - q_i^2)};\ \beta_i > p_i; q_i < 1$$

$$p_i = \frac{(\delta_j + 2\lambda\nu_i)\epsilon_j}{\delta_i + 2\lambda\nu_j};\ q_i = \frac{1}{\delta_i + 2\lambda\nu_j};\ \delta_i = (1 + 2\theta_{i+2});$$

$$\theta_{i+2} = \sqrt{1 - 2\lambda\sigma_i + \lambda^2\mu_i^2};\ \lambda > 0;\ \gamma \in \left(0, \min\left\{\frac{2}{\|A\|^2}, \frac{2}{\|B\|^2}\right\}\right).$$

Proof. Given that (x, y) is a solution of SSpVIP(1.6)-(1.9), that is, x, y satisfy the following relations:

$$x = P_{C_1}(x - \rho F(x, y)), \tag{3.1}$$

$$y = P_{C_2}(y - \rho G(x, y)), \tag{3.2}$$

$$A(x) = P_{C_3}(A(x) - \lambda f(A(x), B(y))), \tag{3.3}$$

$$B(y) = P_{C_4}(B(y) - \lambda g(A(x), B(y))). \tag{3.4}$$

Since $F : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_1$ be α_1-strongly monotone in the first argument and (β_1, ϵ_1)-Lipschitz continuous, from Algorithm 1(2.5) and (3.1), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a_n - x\| = \|P_{C_1}(x_n - \rho F(x_n, y_n)) - P_{C_1}(x - \rho F(x, y))\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - x - \rho(F(x_n, y_n) - F(x, y_n))\| + \rho\|F(x, y_n) - F(x, y)\| \\
&\leq \big(\|x_n - x\|^2 - 2\rho\langle F(x_n, y_n) - F(x, y_n), x_n - x\rangle \\
&\quad + \rho^2\|F(x_n, y_n) - F(x, y_n)\|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \rho\|F(x, y_n) - F(x, y)\| \\
&\leq \theta_1\|x_n - x\| + \rho\epsilon_1\|y_n - y\|,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\theta_1 = \sqrt{1 - 2\rho\alpha_1 + \rho^2\beta_1^2}$.

Next, since $G : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_2$ be α_2-strongly monotone in the second argument and (β_2, ϵ_2)-Lipschitz continuous, from Algorithm 1(2.6) and (3.2), we have

$$\|d_n - y\| \leq \theta_2\|y_n - y\| + \rho\epsilon_2\|x_n - x\|, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\theta_2 = \sqrt{1 - 2\rho\alpha_2 + \rho^2\beta_2^2}$.

Again, since $f : H_3 \times H_4 \to H_3$ be σ_1-strongly monotone in the first argument and (μ_1, ν_1)-Lipschitz continuous, from Algorithm 1(2.7) and (3.3), we have

$$\|b_n - A(x)\| \leq \theta_3\|A(a_n) - A(x)\| + \lambda\nu_1\|B(d_n) - B(y)\|, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\theta_3 = \sqrt{1 - 2\lambda\sigma_1 + \lambda^2\mu_1^2}$.

Since $g : H_3 \times H_4 \to H_4$ be σ_2-strongly monotone in the second argument and (μ_2, ν_2)-Lipschitz continuous, from Algorithm 1(2.8) and (3.4), we have

$$\|l_n - B(y)\| \leq \theta_4\|B(d_n) - B(y)\| + \lambda\nu_2\|A(a_n) - A(x)\|, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\theta_4 = \sqrt{1 - 2\lambda\sigma_2 + \lambda^2\mu_2^2}$.

Now, using the definition of A^*, fact that A^* is a bounded linear operator with $\|A^*\| = \|A\|$, and condition $\gamma \in \left(0, \min\left\{\frac{2}{\|A\|^2}, \frac{2}{\|B\|^2}\right\}\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|a_n - x - \gamma A^*(A(a_n) - A(x))\|^2 \\
&= \|a_n - x\|^2 - 2\gamma\langle a_n - x, A^*(A(a_n) - A(x))\rangle + \gamma^2\|A^*(A(a_n) - A(x))\|^2 \\
&\leq \|a_n - x\|^2 - \gamma(2 - \gamma\|A\|^2)\|A(a_n) - A(x)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|a_n - x\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly, using the definition of B^*, fact that B^* is a bounded linear operator with $\|B^*\| = \|B\|$, and condition $\gamma \in \left(0, \min\left\{\frac{2}{\|A\|^2}, \frac{2}{\|B\|^2}\right\}\right)$, we have

$$\|d_n - y - \gamma B^*(B(d_n) - B(y))\| \leq \|d_n - y\|. \tag{3.10}$$

From Algorithm 1(2.9),(3.5),(3.7) and (3.9), we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|x_{n+1} - x\| \\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - x\| \\
&+\alpha_n\left[\|a_n - x - \gamma A^*(A(a_n) - A(x))\| + \gamma\|A\|\|b_n - A(x)\|\right] \\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - x\| \\
&\quad +\alpha_n\left[\|a_n - x\| + \gamma\|A\|[\theta_3\|A(a_n) - A(x)\| + \lambda\nu_1\|B(d_n) - B(y)\|]\right] \\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - x\| \\
&\quad +\alpha_n\left[(1 + \gamma\|A\|^2\theta_3)\|a_n - x\| + \lambda\nu_1\|A\|\|B\|\|d_n - y\|\right] \\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - x\| \\
&+\alpha_n\left[\delta_1\theta_1\|x_n - x\| + \rho\delta_1\epsilon_1\|y_n - y\| + \lambda\nu_1\gamma\|A\|\|B\|\theta_2\|y_n - y\|\right. \\
&\left.+\lambda\rho\nu_1\epsilon_2\gamma\|A\|\|B\|\|x_n - x\|\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\delta_1 = (1 + \gamma\|A\|^2\theta_3)$.

From Algorithm 1(2.10),(3.6),(3.8) and (3.10), we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|y_{n+1} - y\| \\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|y_n - y\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\alpha_n\left[\|d_n - y - \gamma B^*(B(d_n) - B(y))\| + \gamma\|B\|\|l_n - B(y)\|\right]\\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|y_n - y\|\\
&+\alpha_n\left[(1+\gamma\|B\|^2\theta_4)\|d_n - y\| + \lambda\nu_2\gamma\|A\|\|B\|\|a_n - x\|\right]\\
&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|y_n - y\|\\
&+\alpha_n\left[\delta_2\theta_2\|y_n - y\| + \rho\delta_2\epsilon_2\|x_n - x\| + \lambda\nu_2\gamma\|A\|\|B\|\theta_1\|x_n - x\|\right.\\
&\left.+\lambda\rho\nu_2\epsilon_1\gamma\|A\|\|B\|\|y_n - y\|\right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}
$$

where $\delta_2 = (1+\gamma\|B\|^2\theta_4)$.

Now, define the norm $||.||_\star$ on $H_1 \times H_2$ by

$$||(x,y)||_\star = ||x|| + ||y||,\ (x,y) \in H_1 \times H_2.$$

We can easily show that $(H_1 \times H_2, ||.||_\star)$ is a Banach space.

Since $\gamma\|A\|\|B\| < 2$ then, using (3.11) and (3.12), we have the following estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) - (x,y)\|_* &= \|x_{n+1} - x\| + \|y_{n+1} - y\|\\
&< (1-\alpha_n)[\|x_n - x\| + \|y_n - y\|]\\
&\quad +\alpha_n[\delta_1\theta_1 + \rho\delta_2\epsilon_2 + 2\lambda(\rho\nu_1\epsilon_2 + \nu_2\theta_1)]\|x_n - x\|\\
&\quad +\alpha_n[\delta_2\theta_2 + \rho\delta_1\epsilon_1 + 2\lambda(\rho\nu_2\epsilon_1 + \nu_1\theta_2)]\|y_n - y\|\\
&= [1-\alpha_n(1-\theta)]\|(x_n, y_n) - (x,y)\|_*,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.13}
$$

where $\theta = \max\{k_1, k_2\};\ k_1 = e_1\theta_1 + \rho e_3;\ k_2 = e_2\theta_2 + \rho e_4;\ e_1 = \delta_1 + 2\lambda\nu_2;\ e_2 = \delta_2 + 2\lambda\nu_1;\ e_3 = \delta_2\epsilon_2 + 2\lambda\nu_1\epsilon_2;\ e_4 = \delta_1\epsilon_1 + 2\lambda\nu_2\epsilon_1$.

Thus we obtain

$$\|(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) - (x,y)\|_* < \prod_{r=1}^{n}[1-\alpha_r(1-\theta)]\|(x_0, y_0) - (x,y)\|_*. \tag{3.14}$$

Since $p_i = \frac{e_{i+2}}{e_i}$ and $q_i = \frac{1}{e_i}$, it follows from given conditions on $\rho,\ \lambda,\ \gamma$ that $\theta \in (0,1)$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n = +\infty$ and $\theta \in (0,1)$, it implies in the light of [14] that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\prod_{r=1}^{n}[1-\alpha_r(1-\theta)] = 0.$$

Thus, it follows from (3.14) that $\{(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})\}$ converges strongly to (x,y) as $n \to +\infty$, that is, $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ as $n \to +\infty$. Further,

it follows from (3.5) and (3.6), respectively, that $a_n \to x$ and $d_n \to y$ as $n \to +\infty$. Since $A,\ B$ are continuous, it follows that $A(a_n) \to A(x)$ and $B(d_n) \to B(y)$ as $n \to +\infty$. Hence, it follows from (3.7) and (3.8), respectively, that $b_n \to A(x)$ and $l_n \to B(y)$ as $n \to +\infty$. This completes the proof. □

Now, we give the following corollaries which are consequences of Theorem 3.1.

If we set $H_3 = H_1,\ H_4 = H_2,\ C_3 = C_1,\ C_4 = C_2,\ f = F,\ g = G$, and $A = B = I$, identity mapping, then Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following theorem for the convergence analysis of Algorithm 2 for SVIP(1.2)-(1.3).

Corollary 3.2. *For each $s \in \{1, 2\}$, let C_s be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of real Hilbert space H_s; let $F : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_1$ be α_1-strongly monotone in the first argument and (β_1, ϵ_1)-Lipschitz continuous, and let $G : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_2$ be α_2-strongly monotone in the second argument and (ϵ_2, β_2)-Lipschitz continuous. Suppose $(x, y) \in C_1 \times C_2$ is a solution to SVIP(1.2)-(1.3) then the sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ generated by Algorithm 2 converges strongly to (x, y) provided that for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the constant ρ satisfy the conditions:*

$$0 < \rho < \min_{1 \le i \le 2} \left\{ \frac{2(\alpha_i - \epsilon_i)}{\beta_i^2 - \epsilon_i^2} \right\}$$

If we set $H_2 = H_1,\ H_4 = H_3,\ C_2 = C_1,\ C_4 = C_3,\ G = F,\ g = f,\ B = A$, and $y = x$, then Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following corollary for the convergence analysis of Algorithm 3 for SpVIP(1.10)-(1.11).

Corollary 3.3. *For each $s \in \{1, 3\}$, let C_s be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of real Hilbert space H_s; let $F : H_1 \times H_1 \to H_1$ be α_1-strongly monotone in the first argument and (β_1, ϵ_1)-Lipschitz continuous, and let $f : H_3 \times H_3 \to H_3$ be σ_1-strongly monotone in the first argument and (μ_1, ν_1)-Lipschitz continuous. Let $A : H_1 \to H_3$ be bounded linear operator. Suppose $x \in C_1$ is a solution to SSpVIP(1.10)-(1.11) then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 3 converges strongly to x provided that the constants ρ, λ, γ satisfy the conditions:*

$$\left| \rho - \frac{\alpha_1 - \epsilon_1}{\beta_1^2 - \epsilon_1^2} \right| < \frac{\sqrt{(\alpha_1 - \epsilon_1)^2 - (\beta_1^2 - \epsilon_1^2)(1 - k^2)}}{\beta_1^2 - \epsilon_1^2};$$

$$\alpha_1 > \epsilon_1 + \sqrt{(\beta_1^2 - \epsilon_1^2)(1-k^2)};\ \beta_1 > \epsilon_1;\ k = \frac{1}{\delta_1} < 1;$$
$$\delta_1 = (1+2\theta_3);\ \theta_3 = \sqrt{1 - 2\lambda\sigma_1 + \lambda^2\mu_1^2};\ \lambda > 0;\ \gamma \in \left(0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2}\right).$$

Corollary 3.4. *For each $s \in \{1,3\}$, let C_s be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of real Hilbert space H_s; let $F : H_1 \times H_1 \to H_1$ be α_1-strongly mixed monotone and β_1-mixed Lipschitz continuous, and let $f : H_3 \times H_3 \to H_3$ be σ_1-strongly mixed monotone and μ_1-mixed Lipschitz continuous. Let $A : H_1 \to H_3$ be bounded linear operator. Suppose $x \in C_1$ is a solution to SSpVIP(1.10)-(1.11) then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 3 converges strongly to x provided that the constants ρ, λ, γ satisfy the conditions:*

$$\left|\rho - \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1^2}\right| < \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 - \beta_1^2(1-k^2)}}{\beta_1^2};$$
$$\alpha_1 > \beta_1\sqrt{1-k^2};\ k = \frac{1}{\delta_1} < 1;$$
$$\delta_1 = (1+2\theta_3);\ \theta_3 = \sqrt{1 - 2\lambda\sigma_1 + \lambda^2\mu_1^2};\ \lambda > 0;\ \gamma \in \left(0, \frac{2}{\|A\|^2}\right).$$

Remark 3.5. *It is of further research effort to extend the iterative method and results presented in this paper for the system of split variational inequality problems involving set-valued mappings.*

References

[1] C. Baiocchi and A. Capelo, *Variational and Quasi-variational Inequalities*, Wiley, New York, 1984.

[2] A. Bensoussan and J. L. Lions, *Applications of Variational Inequalities to Stochastic Control. North-Holland*, Amsterdam, 1982.

[3] C. Byrne, Y. Censor, A. Gibali and S. Reich, *Weak and strong convergence of algorithms for the split common null point problem*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **13**(2012) 759–775.

[4] Y. Censor, T. Bortfeld, B. Martin and A. Trofimov, *A unified approach for inversion problems in intensity modulated radiation therapy*, Physics in Medicine and Biology **51** (2006) 2353–2365.

[5] Y. Censor and T. Elfving, *A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in product space*, Numerical Algorithms **8** (1994) 221–239.

[6] Y. Censor, A. Gibali and S. Reich, *Algorithms for the split variational inequality problem*, Numerical Algorithms **59** (2012) 301–323.

[7] C. Cohen and F. Chaplais, *Nested monotony for variational inequalities over product of spaces and convergence of iterative algorithms* J. Optim. Theory. Appl. **59** (1988) 185–195.
[8] J. Crank, *Free and Moving Boundary Problems*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1984.
[9] M. Ferris and J. S. Pang, *Engineering and economics applications of complementarity problems* SIAM Rev. **39** (1997) 669–713.
[10] G. Fichera, *Problemi elastostatici con vincoli unilaterali: Il problema di Signorini ambigue condizione al contorno*, Attem. Acad. Naz. Lincei. Mem. Cl. Sci. Nat. Sez. Ia **7**(8) (1963/64) 91–140.
[11] F. Giannessi and A. Maugeri, *Variational Inequalities and Network Equilibrium Problems*, Plenum Press, New York, 1995.
[12] R. Glowinski, *Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Variational Problems*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
[13] G. Kassey and J. Kolumban, *System of multi-valued variational inequalities*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **56** (2000) 185–195.
[14] K. R. Kazmi, *Split nonconvex variational inequality problem*, Mathematical Sciences **7**(1):36 (2013) 1-5. (doi:10.1186/2251-7456-7-36)
[15] K. R. Kazmi, F.A. Khan and M. Shahzad, *Existence and iterative approximation of a unique solution of a system of general quasi-variational inequality problems*, Thai J. Math. **8**(2) (2010) 405–417.
[16] K. R. Kazmi, F.A. Khan and M. Shahzad, *A system of generalized variational inclusions involving generalized $H(.,.)$-accretive mapping in real q-uniformly smooth Banach spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **217**(23) (2011) 9679–9688.
[17] K. R. Kazmi and S. H. Rizvi, *A hybrid extragradient method for approximating the common solutions of a variational inequality, a system of variational inequalities, a mixed equilibrium problem and a fixed point problem*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218**(9) (2012) 5439–5452.
[18] K. R. Kazmi and S. H. Rizvi, *Iterative approximation of a common solution of a split equilibrium problem, a variational inequality problem and a fixed point problem*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **21** (2013) 44–51.
[19] K. R. Kazmi and S. H. Rizvi, *Iterative approximation of a common solution of a split generalized equilibrium problem and a fixed point problem for nonexpansive semigroup*, Mathematical Sciences **7**(1) (2013) (doi 10.1186/2251-7456-7-1)
[20] K. R. Kazmi and S. H. Rizvi, *An iterative method for split variational inclusion problem and fixed point problem for a nonexpansive mapping*, Optim Lett. bf 8(2014) 11131124. (doi 10.1007/s11590-013-0629-2)
[21] K. R. Kazmi and S. H. Rizvi, *Implicit iterative method for approximating a common solution of split equilibrium problem and fixed point problem for a nonexpansive semigroup*, Arab J. Math. Sci. **20**(1) (2014) 57–75. (doi 10.1016/j.ajmsc.2013.04.002)
[22] N. Kikuchi and J. T. Oden, *Contact Problems in Elasticity*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1998.
[23] I. V. Konnov, *Relatively monotone variational inequalities over product sets*, Oper. Res. Lett. **28** (2001) 21–26.
[24] A. Moudafi, *Split monotone variational inclusions*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **150** (2011) 275–283.

[25] A. Nagurney, *Network Economics: A Variational Inequality Approach*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
[26] J. S. Pang, *Asymmetric variational inequalities over product of sets: Applications and iterative methods*, Math. Prog. **31** (1985) 206–219
[27] G. Stampacchia, *Formes bilinearires coercitives sur les ensembles convexes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **258** (1964) 4413–4416.
[28] R. U. Verma, *Generalized system of relaxed cocoercive variational inequalities and projection methods*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **121**(1) (2004) 203–210.
[29] R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Archive der Mathematik **58** (1992) 486–491.